

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to the Department of Defense, Executive Service Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.**

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 04/05/2018		2. REPORT TYPE Poster		3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 05/04/2018	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE The effect of indirect bonding tray material on light transmission and degree of conversion				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Lilli, Christina				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59th Clinical Research Division 1100 Willford Hall Loop, Bldg 4430 JBSA-Lackland, TX 78236-9908 210-292-7141				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  17689	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 59th Clinical Research Division 1100 Willford Hall Loop, Bldg 4430 JBSA-Lackland, TX 78236-9908 210-292-7141				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release. Distribution is unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES American Association of Orthodontics Conference, Washington, DC, May 4, 2018					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE			Clarice Longoria
					19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 210-268-2201



# The Effect of Indirect Bonding Tray Materials on Light Transmission and Degree of Conversion

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Christina Lilli, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Wen Lien, <sup>3</sup>Dr. David P. Lee, <sup>4</sup>Dr. Juslik Park  
Tri-Service Orthodontic Residency Program, JBSA-Lackland, Texas  
Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

## INTRODUCTION

In orthodontics, good bracket placement is the key to optimum occlusion/esthetics and efficiency. Indirect bonding is a method where brackets are placed onto casts from which a custom tray is fabricated capturing these bracket positions.

An adhesive is then applied to the custom base of each bracket in the tray which is then seated in the mouth and a curing light is used to transfer these brackets to the teeth one arch at a time.

Because the curing light must pass through the tray, a portion of that light will be absorbed or scattered by the tray and therefore has the potential to decrease the composite degree of conversion which could negatively affect the bond strength and treatment success.

## OBJECTIVES / HYPOTHESIS


The purpose of this study was to determine if tray material, thickness, and length of cure have an effect on the light transmission and DC during indirect bonding.

Hypothesis: There will be a difference between the attenuation coefficient (AC) of Star VPS and Emluma/Lumaloc (EL/LL). Thickness, length of cure, and tray material will affect composite degree of conversion (DC).

## MATERIALS & METHODS

Three transfer-tray materials (Star VPS, EL/LL, and Blocryl/Bioplast (B/B)) were evaluated. Light transmission was tested using an integrating sphere (Labsphere) to obtain the AC for Star VPS and EL/LL.

The effect of tray materials on curing polymer-based composite was determined by measuring the DC of a 1.5 mm flowable composite (Revolution, Kerr) after curing through varying thicknesses of tray material per brand and air as a control using a Fourier Transform Infrared Attenuated Total Reflection spectrometer (FTIR-ATR, Spotlight-400).





Statistical Analysis:  
AC: Normal distribution: Independent T-test  
DC: Non-normal distribution: Friedman's 2-way ANOVA and Kruskal Wallis 1-way ANOVA; Tukey's Analysis

## RESULTS




**Attenuation Coefficient (AC) at 469nm:**  
Star:  $\mu = 0.0588 \pm 0.0001$ , EL/LL:  $\mu = 0.0986 \pm 0.0002$

**Degree of Conversion (DC)**



- Attenuation Coefficient:
  - Star VPS < EL/LL
- Degree of Conversion:
  - Air: Distance 0-6mm had no effect; Length of cure: 10s<20, 30s
  - Star VPS: Thickness and length of cure had an interaction effect: at 2, 3, 6mm, 10s<20, 30s; at 4, 5mm, 10=20=30s
  - EL/LL: Thickness variations: no effect; Length of cure: 10s<20, 30s; 20s>10s
  - B/B: One thickness; Length of cure: no effect
  - Material type: B/B < Air, Star, EL/LL; Air=Star=EL/LL; Length of cure: 10s<20<30s



## DISCUSSION

AC: Star < EL/LL, therefore Star transmits more light  
With AC of each material, using the equation,  
$$I(x) = I_0 e^{-\mu x}$$

It is possible to determine the light intensity through any thickness of material.  
Studies recommend 400mW/cm<sup>2</sup> minimally to achieve adequate polymerization therefore even at 10mm, each of these materials transmits enough light to be effective.  
Even though EL/LL transmits more light than Star VPS, there was no difference in DC.

Thickness (mm)	Light Intensity through material (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	$\mu$ Star	$\mu$ EL/LL
2	106.86	0.0588	0.0986
3	100.94	892.72	
4	94.50	808.90	
5	89.33	732.95	
6	84.26	664.13	
7	79.51	601.77	
8	74.90	548.27	
9	70.63	494.07	
10	66.53	447.86	

## CONCLUSIONS

- There was a difference between the AC of Star VPS and EL/LL and therefore the hypothesis was accepted.
- Thickness had no effect on DC, however, length of cure and material type did have an effect on DC and therefore the hypothesis was partially accepted.
- Star VPS, EL/LL and B/B, at any thickness up to 6 mm, produced clinically acceptable DC for bonding.
- In general, prolonging the curing time through any material equates to an overall increase in DC.
- Although curing for 30 seconds rather than 10 seconds produced about 8% higher DC, more studies will need to be conducted for determining the significance between DC and bond strength.



<sup>1</sup> LCDR, USN, DC, TORP Resident, Graduate Student USUHS, JtCOL, USAF, DC, Research Director, Dental Materials Research, DECS, Kcol, USAF, DC Residency  
Chairman, TORP, Associate Professor, USUHS, PhD Biostatistician, 59th MDW/ST Chief Scientist's Office  
The views expressed in this poster are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy of the Department of Defense or other departments of the United States Government or USUHS.

